

Proposed Strategy for Engagement with GEO Work Programme Activities

This document is submitted by the Secretariat to the Programme Board for decision.

1 INTRODUCTION

The approval of the 2020-2022 GEO Work Programme (GWP) by the GEO-XVI Plenary marked the end of the development phase and the start of the implementation phase of this GWP.

At its 15th meeting in September 2019, the Programme Board (PB) expressed a desire to continue the engagement with the GWP activities that had been started during the review of the implementation plans, which could include the continuation of the review teams in some form.

This document proposes a strategy for how the engagement of GWP activities by the PB and the Secretariat might be structured and coordinated.

2 CONTEXT

There are several factors which influence the design of the strategy. These include the following:

- The Secretariat heard from GWP activity leads, such as through the development of the status report on the Flagships and Initiatives, that many would prefer a closer relationship with the Secretariat and the PB and may also seek various kinds of assistance to help them advance their activities.
- PB members have sought a more detailed understanding of the status of implementation of GWP activities, however, responses from the activities to monitoring requests have resulted in a low (about 50%) response rate and many gaps. Interactive approaches, such as in the exercise in late 2018 / early 2019 provide a richer information base (though qualitative) and are potentially open to inclusion of PB members.
- GWP activity leads almost universally appreciated the opportunity to have direct contact with PB members and were responsive to the recommendations of the review teams. The review teams have now established a level of understanding of the activities that they reviewed that would also be useful to retain and build upon. The review team members can then also act as advocates and interlocutors between PB and the GWP activities and between one activity and another (as in the case of the Urban Resilience Task Force in the response to the comments from UN-Habitat).
- Issues identified in the course of the implementation plan reviews were not fully resolved in all cases. Some of the issues reflect challenges, such as lack of resources or a need for a shift in strategy, that could not be addressed in the course of the few months of the GWP development process. There are thus many issues that will require follow-up, and possible PB and/or Secretariat intervention, during the next several years.



3 OUTLINE OF THE STRATEGY

3.1 Concept

The central idea of the strategy is to identify, for each GWP activity, a small set of objectives that would form the basis for engagement of the activities by the PB and the Secretariat. It is planned that the objectives will be agreed jointly between the PB, the Secretariat and the activities through a process of consultation. Once agreed, responsibilities for actions would be assigned to particular individuals, which could include Secretariat staff, GWP leads or participants, or PB members. A schedule of contact would also be prepared, identifying timing of teleconferences with each activity, which would include PB members in the case of the GEO Flagships, Initiatives and Regional GEOs. Progress in addressing the objectives would also form one of the sources for monitoring and reporting on the GWP.

The objectives which would form the core of the strategy could take several different forms, including:

- Addressing major weaknesses or gaps identified in the implementation plan reviews;
- Providing assistance to the GWP activity on such matters as resource mobilization, communications, etc.;
- Facilitating connections with policy contacts, experts, user communities, etc.;
- Strengthening linkages to engagement priorities or with other GWP activities; or
- Assisting in the sharing of data, services, tools, methods, etc. with the broader community, including via the GEO Knowledge Hub, the GEOSS Platform, or other ways.

It is essential that the objectives be specific, concrete and achievable within the period of the 2020-2022 GWP. However, they should also be significant to the activity and to furthering the work of GEO and not be merely administrative (such as missing parts of the implementation plan, which could be addressed separately).

A second key element of the strategy is that the review teams which undertook the review of the implementation plans of GEO Flagships, Initiatives and Regional GEOs would be reconfigured as "Engagement Teams". This responds directly to the request made by PB members at the 15th meeting. Each Engagement Team would become the main point of contact between a set of GWP activities and the PB, in addition to the ongoing role of the Secretariat. The intent is not to replace or duplicate the role of the Secretariat in supporting and monitoring the progress of the GWP activities, but to enhance it through the peer-to-peer relationships with PB members.

Engagement with Community Activities would remain with the Secretariat, except where Engagement Teams see benefit from including selected Communities Activities within their scope. Foundational Tasks will be expected to report directly to the PB as required.

3.2 Consultation on the Objectives

An illustrative, but as yet incomplete, set of engagement objectives is provided as Annex A to this document. An initial set of possible objectives was developed by the Secretariat based on the results of the reviews of the implementation plans, supplemented by additional information such as the results of previous assessments and direct dialogue with activity leads. The initial objectives were sent in December 2019 to the activity leads for consultation. In some cases these objectives were either confirmed or revised by the leads and this is noted in the table. In other instances, the Secretariat has not yet received a response from the activities and



this is also noted. For a minority of activities, no objectives have yet been defined and the Secretariat will work with the activity leads to develop a set of objectives together.

As part of the implementation of the strategy, it is expected that the PB and, in particular, the Engagement Teams, will also contribute in defining the objectives. This process will begin with the 16th PB meeting and is expected to extend for several months. From a Secretariat perspective, it would be desirable to have the objectives for all of the GWP activities confirmed in advance of the 2020 GEO Symposium.

4 IMPLEMENTING THE STRATEGY

4.1 Duties of the Engagement Teams

The required time commitment of PB members participating on the Engagement Teams should be less than that for the review teams. The main expectations, beyond the normal duties as a PB member, would be as follows:

- Participate in periodic teleconferences with GWP activity leads and the Secretariat. The aim would be to hold at least two teleconferences per year with the leads of each GWP activity. Given challenges of time zones and the need to accommodate the schedules of the GWP activity leads, it is assumed that not all engagement team members will be able to attend all of the calls.
- Participate in teleconferences of the Engagement Team, as needed. While it is difficult to predict the number of calls, it is not anticipated to have more than two or three per year per team.
- Review emailed documents related to the objectives identified for the activities covered by the team.
- Assist in furthering the objectives of the GWP activities as identified in the strategy, where the PB member has relevant expertise, contacts, etc.
- Assist in the preparation of status reports to PB meetings.

4.2 Number and Composition of the Engagement Teams

The teams set up to review the implementation plans of the GEO Flagships, Initiatives and Regional GEOs were as were as shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1 -- Activities Reviewed by Each Review Team

Team	Activities Reviewed – Community Activities shown in []	#
Atmosphere	GOS ₄ M, GOS ₄ POPS, GEO-VENER	3
Climate	GFOI, [SCO]	1
Cross-cutting	[CITSCI-EO], DIAS , EO 4 SDG , [GEO-EV]	2
Disasters	GEO-DARMA, GSNL, GWIS	3
Ecosystems	GEOBON, [GEO-ECO], GEO-WETLANDS, EO4EA	3
Geographic	[ATLANTIC-GEOSS], GEO-CRADLE, GEO-GNOME	2
Land	GDIS, GEOGLAM, GEO-LDN	3
Regional	AFRIGEO, AMERIGEO, AOGEO, EUROGEO	4
Urban	EO4HEALTH, GUOI, HUMAN-PLANET	3
Water	AQUAWATCH, BLUE-PLANET, GEOGLOWS	3



Due to some implementation plans that had been expected not being received, and to some activities not being approved as Initiatives, the number of Flagships and Initiatives per team varies. There is thus some room to revise the teams to make the number of activities per team more aligned.

The proposed revised set of Engagement Teams is shown below:

Table 2 - Proposed Activities Assigned to Engagement Teams

Team	Activities Reviewed - Changes shown in red	#
Atmosphere	GOS ₄ M, GOS ₄ POPS, GEO-VENER	3
Cross-cutting	DIAS, EO ₄ SDG, GEO-CRADLE, GEO-GNOME	4
Disasters	GEO-DARMA, GSNL, GWIS	3
Ecosystems	GEOBON, GEO-WETLANDS, EO4EA, GFOI	4
Land	GDIS, GEOGLAM, GEO-LDN	3
Regional	AFRIGEO, AMERIGEO, AOGEO, EUROGEO	4
Urban	EO4HEALTH, GUOI, HUMAN-PLANET	3
Water	AQUAWATCH, BLUE-PLANET, GEOGLOWS	3

Based on the previous membership of the review teams, the members of the Engagement Teams are proposed below, with adjustments to reflect the removal of two teams. PB members who are co-leads of activities have been removed from the applicable teams. The proposal is to retain, to the extent possible, the knowledge developed through the review team process.

Table 3 - Proposed Members of Engagement Teams

Team	Proposed Members - Chair shown in bold; Changes shown in red	#
Atmosphere	Bourassa (Canada); Fontaine (ESIP); Gerasopoulos (Greece); Gu (China); Ochiai (Japan); Rayder (US)	6
Cross-cutting	Anand (GODAN); Bourassa (Canada); Gevorgyan (US); Gross (IAG); Khalsa (IEEE); Petiteville (ESA); Ranchin (France); Sideris (IUGG)	8
Disasters	Adler (MRI); Antwiwaa (Ghana); Bye (Norway); Craddock (IAG); Marsh (UK); Sideris (IUGG); Wilson (SWF)	7
Ecosystems	Milne (GRSS); Muraoka (Japan); Nativi (EC); Pettorelli (UK); Ross (Australia); Vihervaara (Finland)	6
Land	Adler (MRI); Antwiwaa (Ghana); Fontaine (ESIP); Gevorgyan (US); Mlisa (SA); Pettorelli (UK)	6
Regional	Anttila (Finland); Craglia (EC); Dettmann (Germany); Ochiai (Japan); Pirrone (Italy); Zampati (GODAN); Zhou (China)	7
Urban	DeLoatch (US); Gerasopoulos (Greece); Petiteville (ESA); Pirrone (Italy); Seeyave (POGO); Wilson (SWF); Zhou (China);	6
Water	Bye (Norway); Halpern (COSPAR); Kabo-Bah (Ghana); Khalsa (IEEE); Mlisa (SA); Nativi (EC); Ross (Australia)	6

The membership of the Engagement Teams may be revised, either by adding new members or by existing members who prefer to join other teams or who may need to reduce their time commitment in 2020.



5 RECOMMENDATIONS

The Secretariat recommends that the Programme Board:

- Approve the proposed strategy as the general approach to be used for organizing engagement with GWP activities during implementation of the 2020-2022 GWP;
- Approve the creation of Engagement Teams, with the duties as proposed in section 4.1;
- Approve the set of Engagement Teams and their assigned GEO Flagship and Initiative responsibilities, as proposed in Table 2; and
- Provide feedback on the set of objectives, as input to further discussions with the GWP activity leads.

Individual PB members are asked to indicate their willingness to participate on an Engagement Team and to specify on which team or teams they wish to be involved.



ANNEX A

DRAFT OBJECTIVES FOR ENGAGEMENT OF GEO WORK PROGRAMME ACTIVITIES

Green shading = objectives agreed by activity leads Yellow shading = objectives sent to activity leads for consultation Blank = objectives in development

Short Name	Full Name	Priority Objectives
GEO-BON	GEO Biodiversity Observation Network	 Sustainable funding of the GEO BON Secretariat Pilot demonstration of cloud computing services for EBVs
GEOGLAM	GEO Global Agricultural Monitoring	 Funding obtained from G20 GEO Members to support sustainability of GEOGLAM services. Progress on use of the GEO Knowledge Hub and technical services (e.g. Sen2Agri on AWS) to support analytical processes. Completion of 3 white papers describing GEOGLAM linkages to the GEO engagement priorities. GEOGLAM communications strategy harmonized with GEO communications.
GFOI	Global Forest Observation Initiative	 Confirmation of a policy mandate. Inclusion of GFOI results in the GEO Knowledge Hub. GFOI's connections strengthened to other land-based GEO activities, including GEOGLAM, GEOBON and potentially others. GFOI's participation in the GEO Climate Change and Capacity Building working groups. Shared communications activities with GEO furthered GFOI's membership from other GEO partners working on forest monitoring and associated GHG accounting issues.
GOS4M	Global Observation System for Mercury	Confirmation of a policy mandate.Consolidation of partnerships and data sharing



Short Name	Full Name	Priority Objectives
AQUAWATCH	Aquawatch	Continue to construct the 'Water Quality Information Service' by: - Cataloguing a Library of Algorithms for inclusion in GEO Knowledge Hub - Collaborate with CEOS on the development of an Aquatic Analysis Ready Data (ARD) product through community consensus - Advance the development of a global validation network - Develop end-to-end demonstration projects
BLUE-PLANET	Oceans and Society: Blue Planet	
DIAS	Data Integration and Analysis System	 Linkage to GEO work with UNDRR / Sendai Framework Increased visibility and service coverage at the global level
EO ₄ EA	Earth Observations for Ecosystem Accounting	 Policy mandate from UNSD-SEEA. Tools, guidelines, etc. included in GEO Knowledge Hub (if suitable)
EO4HEALTH	Earth Observations for Health	- Funding for EO₄Health Secretariat- Engagement of public health agencies [specific countries?]
EO ₄ SDG	Earth Observations in Service of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development	 Clarification of 'federated approach' on SDGs and role of EO4SDG in relation to other GEO entities. Clarification of the process for vetting proposed methods developed in GEO to support the SDGs.
GDIS	Global Drought Information System	Clarification of the governance structure.Clarification of the planned deliverables for 2020-2022, taking account of available resources.
GEO-CRADLE	GEO Capacity Building in the North Africa, Middle East, Balkans and Black Sea Region	 Confirmation of funding post-2020. Strategy for engagement of policy decision makers and other targeted users. Clarification of planned services.



Short Name	Full Name	Priority Objectives
GEO-DARMA	Data Access for	- Resolution of the issues causing delays in the
	Risk Management	2017-2019 period.
		- Strategy for funding projects beyond the pilot
		phase (sustainability).
		- Strategy for how the lessons from the pilots can
		be replicated and scaled by countries.
GEOGLOWS	GEO Global	- Increased coordination with other streamflow
	Water	and flood activities.
	Sustainability	- Results included in the GEO Knowledge Hub.
GEO-GNOME	GEO Global	
	Network for	
	Observation and	
	Information in	
	Mountain	
	Environments	
GEO-LDN	GEO Land	
	Degradation	
	Neutrality	
	Initiative	
GEO-VENER	GEO Vision for	- Support the achievement of targets under SDG 7
	Energy	- Connections with energy sectors in key GEO
		Member countries.
		- Link GEO VENER activities with the Climate
		Working Group and increase their visibility within GEO's climate portfolio.
		GLO's climate portiono.
GEO-WETLANDS	GEO Wetlands	
	Initiative	
GOS ₄ POPS	Global	
	Observation	
	System for	
	Persistent	
	Organic	
	Pollutants	
GSNL	GEO Geohazard	- Implementation of supersites in additional
	Supersites and	countries [specify?]
	Natural Laboratories	- Funding support for supersites in developing countries.
	Laboratories	
GUOI	Global Urban	Link established with the DRR Working Group.Coordinated response to UN-Habitat with other
JUUI	Observation and	urban-related GWP activities.
	Information	- Identification of targeted services to be
	momuton	developed.
GWIS	Global Wildfire	
	Information	
	System	
	1	



Short Name	Full Name	Priority Objectives
HUMAN-PLANET	GEO Human Planet Initiative: Spatial Modeling of Impact, Exposure and Access to Resources	 Coordinated response to UN-Habitat with other urban-related GWP activities. Results included in the GEO Knowledge Hub.
AFRIGEO	Africa Group on Earth Observations	
AMERIGEO	Americas Group on Earth Observations	
AOGEO	Asia-Oceania Group on Earth Observations	
EUROGEO	European Group on Earth Observations	
ACIS	Advancing Communications Networks	- Stronger linkages to the GEOSS Infrastructure Development FT.
AFRICULTURES	Enhancing Food Security in African Agricultural Systems with the support of Remote Sensing	- More detailed implementation plan, including intended services and users.
ARCTIC-GEOSS	Arctic GEOSS	- Revised implementation plan reflecting H2020 funding decisions.
ATLANTIC-EO	Earth Observations in the Atlantic Region	- Active collaboration with BLUE-PLANET and GEO-BON (MBON).- Confirmation of funding post-2020.
C ₃ S	Copernicus Climate Change Service	- Transition to a collaborative project with other GEO Members / POs.
CAMS	Copernicus Atmospheric Monitoring Service	- Transition to a collaborative project with other GEO Members / POs.



Short Name	Full Name	Priority Objectives
CLIMATE-DATA	Climate Observations, Simulation and Impacts	- Link to other GWP activities on climate.
CROP-PEST- MONITORING	Global Crop Pest and Disease Habitat Monitoring & Risk Forecasting	- Publication of key results and products in English.
CSDR	Construction and Services of Chinese High- resolution Satellite Data Resources	- Greater open access to satellite images.
DE-AFRICA	Digital Earth Africa	Staffing of the DE Africa office.Completion of a detailed implementation plan.
DELTA&ESTUARY	Global Observation on Deltas and Estuaries	- Transition toward an open science approach.
EO&CITIZEN- SCIENCE	Earth Observations and Citizen Science	- Completion of planned deliverables offering guidance to the GEO community on the use of citizen science.
EO ₄ DRM	Earth Observations for Disaster Risk Management	- Strategy for broader dissemination and transfer of results.
EO ₄ MIN	Earth Observations for Managing Mineral Resources	- Progress in obtaining funding.
EO ₄ SENDAI- MONITORING	Earth Observation and Copernicus in Support of Sendai Monitoring	 Expanded set of participants with greater geographic coverage in the activity. A user guide on how to integrate EO in the monitoring for the Sendai Framework. Development of a (processing / analytics) pilot tool that showcases how to use EO to derive a specific Sendai indicator.
EO4WEF	Earth Observations for the Water- Energy-Food Nexus	- Expanded set of participants in the activity, e.g. NSO, IIASA.



Short Name	Full Name	Priority Objectives
EO-IIP	Earth Observation	- Clarification of planned projects and/or services
	Industrial	and their implementation timelines.
	Innovation	
670.476	Platform	
GEOARC	Global	- Transition toward an open science approach.
	Ecosystems and	
	Environment	
	Observation	
	Analysis Research	
CEODECK CENDAL	Cooperation	No. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
GEODESY ₄ SENDAI	Geodesy for the	- Ministerial-level political support and funding for
	Sendai	GNSS-enhanced tsunami early warning systems in
	Framework	the Circum-Pacific Belt (Pacific Ring of Fire) and
		Caribbean basin
		- Ministerial-level political support and funding for geodetic capacity building for disaster risk
		reduction and resilience
GEO-ECO	GEO Global	- Area of focus for the activity defined, with special
GEO-ECO	Ecosystem	emphasis on biotic/abiotic interactions in
	Initiative	ecosystems, cross-scale dynamics and
	IIIIIative	biogeographical aspects.
		- Implementation plan revised to provide more
		detail on activities and deliverables, including links
		with SDGs and possible funding sources.
		- Make full use of the legacy knowledge provided
		by ECOPOTENTIAL and other projects to support
		the international GEO Ecosystem Community of
		Practice.
		- Define links with international infrastructures
		such as ILTER, eLTER, LifeWatch ERIC, CZEN and
		others.
GEO-EV	GEO Essential	- Shift to a more neutral stance regarding the
	Variables	application of the EV concept.
		- Funding sources post-2020 identified.
		- Practical early deliverable providing overview of
		EV activities in the GWP.
GLOBAL-	Global	- Addition of participants in other regions.
AGRIDROUGHT	Agricultural	
	Drought	
	Monitoring	
GLOBAL-FLOOD-	Global Flood Risk	- Collaboration with other GWP water-related
RISK	Monitoring	activities.
GLOBAL-LAND-	Global Land	- Intercomparison of LC and LCC products openly
COVER	Cover	available.



Short Name	Full Name	Priority Objectives
GLOFAS	Global Flood	- Collaboration with other GWP water-related
	Awareness System	activities.
		- Global-scale, comparable, and basin-wide
		hydrological information provided to international
		organizations
IN-SITU-ESC	In-Situ	- Collaboration with GEO BON.
	Observations and	
	Applications for	
	Typical Ecosystem	
	Status of China	
	and Central-Asia	
MUSYQ	Multi-Source	
	Synergized	
	Remote Sensing	
	Products and	
	Services	
NEXT-EOS	Next Generation	
	Earth Observation	
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Services	
NIGHT-LIGHT	Night-time Light	- Collaboration with GUOI and HUMAN-PLANET.
	Remote Sensing	- Identification of opportunities for support to UN-
	for Global	Habitat.
660	Sustainability	
SCO	Space Climate	- Completion of a detailed plan in alignment with
	Observatory	the overall GEO efforts in Climate Resilience
SPACE&SECURITY	Space and	- Initial pilot project identified, defined and
	Security	commenced.
TIGGE	Thorpex	- Link to other GWP activities, where relevant.
	Interactive Grand	
	Global Ensemble	
	Evolution into a	
	Global Interactive	
	Forecast System	
GEO-VALUE	Understanding	- Improved access to reports and other GEO-
	the Impacts and	VALUE results.
	Value of Earth	
	Observations	